$\mathrm{H}_{01}:\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{BTS}}\leq0$	$\mathrm{H}_{02}:\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{BTS\text{-}IP}}\leq0$	$\mathrm{H}_{03}: \beta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BTS-IP}} \leq \beta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BTS}}$	$\frac{\text{Hypothesis-testing}}{\text{evidence}}$	Conclusion
	ر. اس	H_{03} rejected	$0<\beta_{\text{\tiny BTS}}<\beta_{\text{\tiny BTS-IP}}$	BTS alone and with an interim payment are effective in incentivising honesty, with the interim payment further enhancing efficacy.
	H_{02} rejected	H_{03} not rejected	$0<\beta_{\text{\tiny BTS}}, 0<\beta_{\text{\tiny BTS-IP}}$	XX
Hot rejected	$H_{02 \ not \ rejected}$	H_{03} rejected	$0<\beta_{\text{bts}},\beta_{\text{bts}}<\beta_{\text{bts-ip}}$	Contradictory (if the BTS-IP has a larger effect than the BTS, it should be larger than 0).
Hor		H_{03} not rejected	$0 < eta_{ ext{BTS}}$	XX
Ho, no		H ₀₃ rejected	$0 < \beta_{\text{BTS-IP}}, \beta_{\text{BTS}} < \beta_{\text{BTS-IP}}$	Importance of the intermediary payment to have an effective BTS.
Hot not rejected	H_{02} rejected	H_{03} not rejected	$0 < \beta_{ ext{bts-ip}}$	Inconclusive
	$H_{02 \ not \ rejected}$	H ₀₃ rejected	$\beta_{ ext{bts}} < \beta_{ ext{bts-ip}}$	Inconsistent with theory (The BTS would need to have a negative effect). [TO BE CHECKED]
		\overline{H}_{03} not rejected	Ø	Negative evidence for the BTS.