**Review Stage 1 – Round 2**

Thank you for providing the opportunity to review the revised version of the manuscript "Unveiling the Positivity Bias on Social Media: A Registered Experimental Study On Facebook, Instagram, And X". I appreciate the authors' efforts to address my previous comments and make significant improvements to the content of the manuscript. I have a few minor suggestions to further improve the proposed research.

General comments:

* While the authors have added more information on emoji usage, I believe the argument and theoretical background on why it is important to consider the frequency of emoji usage could be strengthened. It would be beneficial to clarify the implications and insights gained from understanding the differential usage of emojis across different social media platforms.
* As previously noted by the authors, asking participants to write about the same event twice (in this case once for a friend and once for social media) may introduce bias. To mitigate this, I recommend randomly assigning participants to write first about either sharing with a friend or posting on social media and then about the other event.

Minor comments:

* There is some inconsistency in language usage: The authors alternate between using "X" and "Twitter." It would be preferable to maintain consistency throughout the manuscript.
* In section 2 “the present research” this sentence reads repetitive: “In support of open science, the research will be pre-registered on OSF.”

I wish the authors all the best with their planned research!