

Dear Recommender,

We now indicate the corresponding mean differences, using the specific rating scales, for each row of the table.

For example, for the first row, we calculated the corresponding mean difference as follows.

A small effect size of $d = 0.28$ ($f = .14$) corresponds to a mean difference of 6 points on the prejudice measurement, between the condition of self-affirmation on threat-unrelated value and each of the other conditions, which represents 28% of the pooled standard deviation of a previous study including 687 participants ($SD = 23.75$; scale 0-100; Nugier et al., 2023).

Based on Study 2 by Lehmiller et al. (2010), with a pooled standard deviation of 1.54, using a 1 to 7 scale, the same effect size ($d = 0.28$) corresponds to a mean difference of 0.4 points on the distributive matrices task, between the self-affirmation on threat-unrelated value condition and each of the other conditions.

In addition, the wording suggestions are now incorporated in the text.

We thank you and the reviewers for the constructive feedback. We are ready to answer any other questions or comments if necessary.

All the best,

Yara, Constantina & Béatrice

A tracked-changes manuscript is provided at: <https://osf.io/g7bxn>