Review of Stage 1 RR: Comparing time versus money in sunk cost effects: Replication of Soman (2001): v2 (2 September 2022)

My review of the revised manuscript is short because the authors have addressed the issues I had raised in the last round.

The only other suggestion I have is merely a suggestion (but one that I’ve found to greatly increase the readability of replication papers) is to include an additional table that compares the procedures and analysis plans across the studies (basically, the equivalent of Table 2, but for procedures and analysis). Rows might include: a) Design, b) Method of recruitment, c) Whether study was done independently or as part of a package, d) Mode of data collection (online, paper-pencil), e) Implementation of Manipulations etc. All of this information is currently in the manuscript and it might be good to pull it all together into a table. Also, this might be a good template for others in the field to follow!

All the best, and I look forward to seeing the project move further along.

Dilip Soman