

Dear Zoltan,

Thank you for your revision.

It seems that there was a misunderstanding in our email exchange earlier. We thought that you agreed on using the lowest detectable effect size as our minimal interesting effect, but we now understand that this is not the case.

We thought about the possibility of treating H2 and H3 as estimation questions, but we came to the conclusion that it is better to leave them out since this project's focus is on replicating Lally's main finding, not on H2 and H3. We have updated the report accordingly.

We hope you find that this final change has improved the quality of our registered report.

Kind regards,
Gustaw Opielka and Sanne de Wit